

JUDGE FRANKLIN D. BURGESS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR06-5352FDB
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER GRANTING STIPULATED
vs.)	MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
)	DATE
JASON B. TIFFIN,)	
)	
Defendant.)	
)	

Based on the stipulated motion of the parties to continue the trial date, and the affidavit of defense counsel in support of the motion, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A).

2. Proceeding to trial absent adequate time for the defense to prepare would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(I).

3. The defense needs additional time to explore issues of some complexity, including all relevant issues and defenses applicable to the case, which would make it unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act and currently set for this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

4. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary to allow the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation his defense. 18

1 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

2 NOW, THEREFORE,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date is continued from July 31, 2006, to
4 November 27, 2006, at 9:00 am. The resulting period of delay from July 31, 2006, up to
5 and including the new trial date of November 27, 2006, is hereby excluded for speedy
6 trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) and (B).

7 Pre-trial motions are due no later than September 18, 2006.

8 DONE this 5th day of July, 2006.

9
10
11
12
13 

14 FRANKLIN D. BURGESS
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

16 Presented By:

17 /s/ _____
18 Russell V. Leonard
19 Attorney for Defendant

20 /s/ _____
21 William H. Redkey, Jr.
22 Assistant United States Attorney